2025

Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship

Accepting Submissions through April 1, 2025



2004 Ironwood Circle, Suite 130, South Bend, IN 46635 | 574.232.5433 | life@prolifemichiana.org

Background

Nancy Jo Benjamin was a devoted mother, grandmother, and great-grandmother. She worked at the University of Notre Dame for many years, but family always came first for Nancy Jo. Her daughter, Jackie, recalls how sharing baby-save stories from the abortion clinic with Nancy Jo always made her day. Now, her legacy of life and love lives on in our Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship.

This scholarship cultivates pro-life leadership at a young age by encouraging students to articulate pro-life truth with compassion, and it is made available each year to two local middle school students.

The essay contest for students began in the year 2011. The scholarship awarded this year will be the fifteenth annual prize given by the organization for excellence in prolife writing.

Right to Life Michiana accepts essay submissions from individuals with primary residences in St. Joseph County (IN), Elkhart County (IN), and all contiguous counties: Berrien County (MI), Cass County (MI), Kosciusko County (IN), LaGrange County (IN), LaPorte County (IN), Marshall County (IN), Noble County (IN), St. Joseph County (MI), and Starke County (IN).

Non-Discrimination Policy

The Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship Contest is committed to a policy against discrimination based on sex, marital or parental status, race, religious creed, national origin, age, or disability.

2025 Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship Prompt

During a discussion about abortion access in the United States, your classmate says, "I am personally opposed to abortion, but I would never tell a woman what to do with her body." How would you respond?

Possible sub-topic points for your consideration:

(It is not necessary to address ideas for all the below points in your essay.)

- How can you acknowledge the challenges faced by a woman during pregnancy and share life-affirming resources? How might advocating for life-affirming alternatives to abortion save a pregnant woman from regret later on?
- Using reason and logic, identify the multiple lives at stake during pregnancy; refute the claim that a preborn child is part of the mother's body.
- Identify key differences between a preborn child and a born child (size, level of development, environment, degree of dependency). Can these differences determine the value and worth of any human life, born or preborn?
- Why is it important to speak on behalf of the most vulnerable members of society? What would it look like to live in a society in which others did not stand up for the vulnerable?
- Why must the life of the preborn child be protected, even in tough cases?

Copyright © 2024, Right to Life Michiana. All rights reserved.

2025 Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship Guidelines

- The Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship Contest is open to all seventh and eighth grade students in St. Joseph County (IN), Elkhart County (IN), and all contiguous counties: Berrien County (MI), Cass County (MI), Kosciusko County (IN), LaGrange County (IN), LaPorte County (IN), Marshall County (IN), Noble County (IN), St. Joseph County (MI), and Starke County (IN).
- Only one entry per student will be accepted.
- Up to two winners will be awarded cash prizes of \$250 each.
- Entries must be a minimum of three hundred words in length, typed, and double-spaced.
- Entries must follow the general rules of English grammar. Factual information must be up to date with references cited.
- All entries should include the student's name, school, grade level, and teacher or parent's email address at the top of each page.
- To be considered for the scholarship, entries must be submitted by Tuesday, April 1, 2025. Please email, hand-deliver, or mail entries to:

| Attention: | Essay Scholarship | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Email: | programs@prolifemichiana.org | | |
| Postal Mail: | Right to Life Michiana | | |
| | 2004 Ironwood Circle, Suite 130 | | |
| | South Bend, IN 46635 | | |

- Please refer to the rubric on the last page of this packet for the criteria by which entries will be evaluated and scored by the scholarship judges. Right to Life Michiana reserves all rights to judgment in this contest. All decisions are final. All materials submitted to the essay contest become the property of Right to Life Michiana and will not be returned to the participants. Right to Life Michiana reserves the right to publish and/or publicly read all or any portion of entries received.
- Please direct questions to the Right to Life Michiana staff by emailing programs@prolifemichiana.org or calling the office at 574-232-5433.
- Winners will be notified by Wednesday, April 9, 2025. They and their immediate family members will be invited to attend the Respect Life Prayer Dinner complimentary on Tuesday, April 15, 2025 to receive their award.



Nancy Jo Benjamin Essay Scholarship Contest Rubric

Updated January 2024 by Right to Life Michiana

| | Superior | Strong | Adequate | Marginal | Very Weak | Incompetent |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Response to the Topic | Addresses the topic clearly and responds effectively to all aspects of the prompt | Addresses the topic clearly, but may respond to some aspects of the prompt more effectively than others | Addresses the topic, but may slight some aspects of the prompt | Distorts or neglects aspects of the prompt | Indicates confusion about the topic or neglects important aspects of the prompt | Suggests an inability to comprehend the question or to respond meaningfully to the topic |
| Originality of Concept | Shows strong evidence the writer has exercised critical thinking skills to issue an original response considering the prompt from multiple angles | Shows developing critical thinking skills used to issue an original response | Shows little evidence of critical thinking in issuing a response | Reiterates concepts borrowed from other sources without giving the prompt much original thought related to the topic | Reiterates concepts borrowed from other sources with no evidence of original thought related to the topic | Reflects no evidence of critical thinking on the topic |
| Quality and Clarity of Thought | Explores the topic thoughtfully and in depth | Shows some depth and complexity of thought | May treat the topic simplistically or repetitively | Lacks focus, or demonstrates confused or simplistic thinking | Lacks focus and coherence, and often fails to communicate ideas | ls unfocused, illogical, incoherent, or disorganized |
| Syntax and Command of Language | Has an effective, fluent style marked by syntactic variety and a clear command of language | Displays some syntactic variety and facility in the use of language | Demonstrates adequate use of syntax and language | Has limited control of syntax and vocabulary | Has inadequate control of syntax and variety | Lacks basic control of syntax and vocabulary |
| Grammar, Usage, and Mechanics | Is generally free from errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics | May have a few errors, but generally demonstrates control of grammar, usage, and mechanics | May have some errors, but generally demonstrates control of grammar, usage, and mechanics | Has an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that sometimes interfere with meaning | Is marred by numerous errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that frequently interfere with meaning | Has serious and persistent errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that severely interfere with meaning |
| Organization and Support | Is coherently organized, with ideas supported by appropriate reasons and well-chosen examples | Is well organized and developed with ideas supported by appropriate reasons and examples | Is adequately organized and developed, generally supporting ideas with reasons and examples | Is poorly organized and developed, presenting generalizations without adequate and appropriate support | Has very weak organization, providing generalizations without support | ls disorganized, providing little or no relevant support |
| Facts and References | Shows strong evidence of research, effectively applying facts to strengthen arguments, and references sources appropriately | Shows good evidence of research, sharing facts pertaining to arguments, and references sources appropriately | Shows some evidence of research, sharing facts with little relevance, and references sources appropriately | Shows little evidence of research sharing facts with no relevance, and attempts to reference sources | Shows little evidence of research sharing facts with no relevance and no attempt to reference sources | Shows no evidence of research and shares no facts or references |

Please direct questions to the Right to Life Michiana team by emailing programs@prolifemichiana.org or calling the office at 574-232-5433. Copyright © 2024, Right to Life Michiana. All rights reserved.